

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/634,862	Applicant(s) RUDERT ET AL.	
	Examiner Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1648	

**All Participants:**

(1) Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.

(2) Colin Sandercock.

**Date of Interview:** 24 March 2004

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*All*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** Noon

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: MM requested help figuring out what was in the preliminary amendment, IFW (image file wrapper) made it hard to tell what was original & what was amendment. MM Also requested another preliminary amendment to tto insert SEQ ID numbers in specification. .